



Turkish Journal of Geriatrics
DOI: 10.31086/tjgeri.2018137963
2018;21 (1):25-32

■ Serkan PEKÇETİN¹

CORRESPONDANCE

Serkan PEKÇETİN
Trakya University, Faculty of Health Sciences
Department of Occupational Therapy
Edirne, Turkey

Phone: 905057579384
e-mail: serkanpekcecin@gmail.com

Received: 30/11/2017
Accepted: 04/02/2018

¹ Trakya University, Faculty of Health Sciences
Department of Occupational Therapy
Edirne, Turkey

RESEARCH

AGEIST ATTITUDES AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH BURNOUT AND JOB SATISFACTION AMONG NURSING STAFF: A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The various factors affecting burnout and job satisfaction among nursing staff have yet to be clearly identified. Accordingly, this study examines one of those potential factors, ageist attitudes, and its relation with burnout and job satisfaction among nursing home employees.

Materials and Method: This was a cross sectional multi-center study which was conducted in 2017. Ageism was evaluated using the Ageism Attitude Scale (subscales: restricting life of the elderly, positive ageism, and negative ageism); burnout level was evaluated using the Maslach Burnout Inventory (subscales: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal satisfaction); and job satisfaction was evaluated using the Short-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire. Correlation between variables were analyzed Spearman Correlation test.

Results: Positive ageism was positively correlated with personal accomplishment and job satisfaction scores ($p<.05$) and negatively correlated with depersonalization ($p<.05$). Short-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire scores were negatively correlated with emotional exhaustion and depersonalization and positively correlated with personal accomplishment variables ($p<.05$).

Conclusion: Ageism negatively impacts not only elderly individuals but also the nursing staff who care for them. Educational programs to prevent ageism should be evaluated for efficiency and implemented if proven to be beneficial.

Keywords: Ageism; Job satisfaction; Nursing homes; Nursing staff

ARAŞTIRMA

YAŞLI BAKIM PERSONELLERİNİN YAŞLI AYRIMCILIĞI İLE İLGİLİ TUTUMLARI İLE TÜKENME VE İŞ MEMNUNİYETİ ARASINDAKİ İLİŞKİSİNİN İNCELENMESİ: TANIMLAYICI BİR ÇALIŞMA

Öz

Giriş: Yaşlı bakım personellerinin tükenmişlik düzeyleri ve iş doyumlarını etkileyen faktörlerin pek çoğu henüz tam olarak tanımlanmamıştır. Dolayısıyla, bu çalışma bahsedilen potansiyel faktörlerden biri olan yaşlı ayrımcılığı tutumları ve bunun yaşlı bakım personelinin tükenmişlik ve iş doyumunu ile ilişkisini incelemektedir.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Bu çalışma, 2017 yılında gerçekleştirilen kesitsel çok merkezli bir çalışmaydı. Yaşlılık ile ilgili tutumlar Yaşlı Ayrımcılığı Tutum Ölçeği (Alt boyutları: Yaşlılığın yaşamını sınırlandırma, pozitif tutumlar ve negatif tutumlar), tükenmişlik düzeyleri Maslach Tükenmişlik Envanteri (Alt Boyutları: Duygusal Tükenme, duyarsızlaşma ve kişisel başarı) ve iş doyumunu Kısa Form Minnesota İş Doyum Ölçeği ile değerlendirildi. Değişkenler arasındaki ilişki Spearman Korelasyon testi ile incelendi.

Bulgular: Pozitif tutum puanları ile kişisel başarı ve iş doyumunu puanları arasında pozitif yönde önemli bir ilişki ($p<.05$) ve duyarsızlaşma ile negatif yönde önemli bir ilişki saptandı ($p<.05$). Kısa Form Minnesota İş Doyum Ölçeği puanının duygusal tükenme ve duyarsızlaşma ile negatif yönde, kişisel başarı puanı ile pozitif yönde ilişkisi olduğu saptandı ($p<.05$).

Sonuç: Yaşlılık ile ilgili olumsuz tutumlar yalnızca yaşlıyı olumsuz etkilemez, aynı zamanda onların bakımını yapan bakım personelinin de olumsuz etkileyebilir. Yaşlılık ile ilgili olumsuz tutumların engellenmesi için eğitim programları etkinlik açısından değerlendirilmeli ve yararlı olduğu kanıtlanırsa uygulanmalıdır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Yaşlı ayrımcılığı; İş doyumunu; Huzurevi; Yaşlı bakım personeli

INTRODUCTION

The technological and scientific advances in the last century have greatly improved healthcare quality, thus extending average life expectancy and increasing the total number of elderly people worldwide (1). In Turkey, the elderly population increased by 17.1% between 2011 and 2016 (2). In addition, other concurrent social changes such as decreasing birth rates, large-scale migration from rural to urban areas, and greater emphasis on women's professional opportunities have increased the need for nursing homes.

Physical and mental changes associated with the aging process increase the care needs of older adults (3), which are generally met by nursing staff. Caring for elderly individuals in nursing homes is emotionally and physically demanding, but it can also be a satisfying experience for nurses.

Burnout in nursing staff

Burnout, a term describing emotional, physical, and mental fatigue, is an insidious process. Maslach et al. described occupational burnout in terms of three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, characterized by workers' loss of motivation due to excessive demands and psychological stress at their job; depersonalization, which leads workers to respond to clients in negative, callous, and dehumanizing ways to create emotional distance from them; and lack of personal accomplishment, as workers negatively evaluate themselves and their profession (4). Burnout is important because of its association with loss of productivity, decreased ability to work, and low job satisfaction (5).

Previous studies have demonstrated that burnout is a key problem among nursing staff (6,7). To the best of our knowledge, only one prior study has investigated burnout among Turkish nursing staff. In that study, contrary to most findings in the literature, Özçakar et al. revealed that Turkish nursing staff did not experience burnout. They cited the participants' young age and specific training for elderly care as possible explanations for this unexpected result

(8). Nursing staff burnout is affected by multiple factors, including work-related and personal factors (5). However, no studies have evaluated the relation between burnout and ageism.

Ageism in nursing staff

Ageism is defined as assumptions, prejudice, discrimination, and arbitrary decisions regarding an individual based on his or her age (9). Factors that may be related to ageism include the individual's own age, experiences, educational background, and cultural beliefs and values (10).

The presence of ageist attitudes can negatively impact the performance of healthcare providers who care for elderly individuals. A study investigating ageist attitudes among nursing students, nurses, and physicians in the Turkish context demonstrated that Turkish healthcare providers' attitudes toward older individuals were generally positive (11-13). However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have evaluated ageist attitudes of Turkish nursing staff and its relation with occupational burnout and job satisfaction.

Therefore, this study fills this research gap by assessing ageist attitudes among Turkish nursing staff and determining the relation between ageism and burnout and job satisfaction. Furthermore, it examines the association between demographic variables and ageism attitudes, burnout, and job satisfaction among nursing staff.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study was performed at two public nursing homes for the elderly in Ankara, Turkey. The study protocol was approved by local ethic committee. All participants signed written informed consent forms prior to the study.

Participants

A total of 126 individuals were employed as care staff in these nursing homes. To be included in the study, employees must have been working



for at least one year as nursing staff. To maximize the number of participants, all staff members who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate. However, 11 nursing staff could not participate because they were on annual leave or shift leave, 9 others declined to participate, and 1 was excluded as the participant did not meet the inclusion criteria. Therefore, the final study sample comprised 105 nursing staff.

INSTRUMENTS

Ageism Attitude Scale

The Ageism Attitude Scale (AAS) was developed by Yılmaz and Terzioğlu in Turkey to evaluate the ageist attitudes of young people and adults. It comprised 23 items organized into 3 dimensions: 9 items on restricting life of the elderly, 8 on positive ageism, and 6 on negative ageism. Statements describing attitudes of positive ageism were scored as 5=*completely agree*, 4=*agree*, 3=*unsure*, 2=*disagree*, and 1=*absolutely disagree*; statements describing negative ageist attitudes were reverse-scored items. A higher score indicated positive ageism attitudes. Total scores ranged from 23 to 115 points overall, from 9 to 45 for restricting life of the elderly, from 8 to 40 for positive ageism, and from 6 to 30 for negative ageism. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) for the scale was determined to be .80 (14).

Maslach Burnout Inventory

Maslach and Jackson developed the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) to assess the frequency and intensity of perceived burnout among people in the helping professions. The Turkish version of the MBI was created in 1992. The MBI comprised 22 items organized into 3 subscales: emotional exhaustion (EE, 9 items), depersonalization (D, 5 items), and personal accomplishment (PA, 8 items). Each item was scored on a five-point scale (0=*never*, 1=*several times a year*, 2=*several times a month*, 3=*several times a week*, 4=*every day*). High EE or D scores

and low PA scores indicated burnout. Reliability coefficients of the subscales were determined as follows: EE=.83, D=.72, and PA=.67 (15).

Short-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire

The Short-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (SFMSQ) captures an employee's degree of satisfaction with his or her job. It comprised 20 items from the Long-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire that best represent each of the 20 scales. Factor analysis of the 20 items resulted in two factors, intrinsic and extrinsic satisfaction. Intrinsic job satisfaction included factors such as activity, ability utilization, and achievement; extrinsic job satisfaction encompassed supervisor-employee relations, compensation, and company policies among other factors. Each item was rated on a five-point scale (5=*very satisfied*, 4=*satisfied*, 3=*neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*, 2=*dissatisfied*, 1=*very dissatisfied*). The general satisfaction score was the mean of all responses; intrinsic and extrinsic satisfaction scores were determined by calculating the mean of the relevant items (12 intrinsic and 8 extrinsic factors). High scores indicated higher levels of job satisfaction. Turkish SFMSQ validity research was conducted and the Cronbach's alpha value was found as 0.87 (16).

Statistical analyses

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 22.0. Normality of data was analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Using the Mann-Whitney U test, comparisons of the participants' AAS, MBI, and SFMSQ values were made on the basis of four demographic variables (age, gender, education level, and marital status). Correlations between variables were analyzed using the Spearman correlation test. Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) values were classified as indicating "weak" (.00-.19), "mild" (.20-.39), "moderate" (.40-.59), "moderately strong" (.60-.79), and "strong" (.80-1.0) relations between variables. P values less than .05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of the participants was 36.40±6.80 years (ranging from 20 to 51 years). The mean time of employment in the nursing home was 3.32±3.74 years. The mean number of elderly people for whom the participants cared was 41.17±10.38 per day.

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the participants. The mean total AAS score was 82.76±7.44. Mean MBI scores were 9.69±6.04 for EE, 2.34±2.61 for D, and 24.85±5.86 for PA; the mean total SFMSQ score was 3.83±.56. Table 2 indicates the AAS, MBI, and SFMSQ values for the participants.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants (Ankara-Turkey, 2017) (n=105).

Demographic variables	n	%
Sex		
Male	31	29.5
Female	74	70.5
Marital status		
Married	92	87.6
Single	10	9.5
Widowed	3	2.9
Education level		
Primary school	46	43.8
High school	55	52.4
Associate degree	4	3.8

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for the AAS, MBI and SFMSQ scales (Ankara-Turkey, 2017).

Evaluation Instruments	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
aAS					
Restricting life of elderly	105	25.00	45.00	34.86	3.95
Positive ageism	105	16.00	40.00	30.52	4.32
Negative ageism	105	10.00	25.00	17.44	3.55
AAS total	105	60.00	100.00	82.76	7.44
MBI					
Emotional exhaustion	105	0.00	27.00	9.69	6.04
Depersonalization	105	0.00	14.00	2.34	2.61
Personal accomplishment	105	1.00	32.00	24.85	5.86
SFMSQ					
Intrinsic satisfaction	105	2.25	5.00	3.91	.54
Extrinsic satisfaction	105	1.38	5.00	3.70	.71
SFMSQ Total	105	2.15	5.00	3.83	.56

Note. AAS=Ageism Attitude Scale; MBI=Maslach Burnout Inventory; SFMSQ=Short Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire.



No statistically significant differences were observed in AAS dimension scores according to participants' demographic characteristics. On the MBI scale, female participants had significantly higher EE scores than males ($p < .01$). Participants who had high school or associate degrees had higher PA scores than those who graduated from primary school ($p < .05$). Primary school graduates had higher extrinsic satisfaction scores on the SFMSQ than high school graduates and associate degree holders ($p < .05$) (Table 3).

A mild positive correlation was observed between positive ageism and PA scores on the MBI scale and among intrinsic, extrinsic, and general satisfaction scores on the SFMSQ. The MBI results revealed a mild negative correlation between positive ageism and D scores, a mild positive correlation between general satisfaction scores and PA scores, and a moderate negative correlation between general satisfaction scores and EE and D scores. Table 4 presents the Spearman correlation analysis results.

Table 3. Comparison of AAS, MBI and SFMSQ scales according to demographic characteristics (Ankara-Turkey, 2017).

	RL	PosA	NegA	EE	D	PA	IS	ES
Gender								
Female (n=74)	34.90±4.10	30.41±4.32	17.83±3.53	10.77±6.38	2.55±2.90	24.64±6.37	3.89±.52	3.62±.72
Male (n=31)	34.77±3.65	30.77±4.37	16.51±3.48	7.12±4.20	1.83±1.67	25.35±4.46	3.97±.58	3.88±.65
	p: .65	p: .53	p: .053	p: .005**	p: .59	p: .83	p: .72	p: .07
Age								
≤35 years (n=46)	35.21±4.01	30.71±3.85	16.86±3.60	9.82±5.69	1.93±2.28	26.04±4.12	3.97±.47	3.70±.67
>36 years (n=59)	34.59±3.93	30.37±4.68	17.89±3.47	9.59±6.34	2.66±2.82	23.93±6.81	3.87±.58	3.70±.74
	p: .49	p: .80	p: .17	p: .75	p: .18	p: .21	p: .88	p: .72
Marital Status								
Married (n=92)	34.92±3.99	30.59±4.45	17.56±3.65	9.45±6.12	2.39±2.69	24.90±5.84	3.92±.53	3.73±.68
Single/ Widowed (n=13)	34.46±3.84	30.00±3.26	16.61±2.69	11.38±5.26	2.00±2.04	24.53±6.19	3.83±.56	3.49±.89
	p: .92	p: .52	p: .30	p: .19	p: .79	p: .89	p: .49	p: .43
Education level								
Primary school (n=46)	34.34±4.18	30.32±5.34	17.34±3.49	8.95±6.03	2.06±2.45	23.50±6.31	3.90±.50	3.85±.67
High school/ Associate degree (n=59)	35.27±3.76	30.67±3.35	17.52±3.63	10.27±6.03	2.55±2.73	25.91±5.30	3.92±.57	3.58±.72
	p: .20	p: .96	p: .78	p: .17	p: .30	p: .024*	p: .92	p: .019*

Note. AAS=Ageism Attitude Scale; MBI=Maslach Burnout Inventory; SFMSQ=Short Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire; RL= Restricting life of the elderly; PosA=Positive ageism; NegA=Negative ageism; EE=Emotional exhaustion; D=Depersonalization; PA=Personal accomplishment; IS=Intrinsic satisfaction; ES=Extrinsic satisfaction. ** $p < .01$; * $p < .05$.

Table 4. Correlations among AAS, MBI and SFMSQ results (Ankara-Turkey, 2017).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1 Restricting life of elderly	–								
2 Positive ageism	.105	–							
3 Negative ageism	.250*	-.036	–						
4 Emotional exhaustion	.068	-.180	-.058	–					
5 Depersonalization	-.086	-.318**	.026	.395**	–				
6 Personal accomplishment	.159	.213*	-.057	-.147	-.388**	–			
7 Intrinsic satisfaction	-.091	.289**	-.080	-.323**	-.415**	.243*	–		
8 Extrinsic satisfaction	-.201	.320**	-.098	-.487**	-.450**	.120	.681**	–	
9 SFMSQ Total	-.163	.336**	-.114	-.449**	-.474**	.212*	.909**	.905**	–

Note. AAS=Ageism Attitude Scale; MBI=Maslach Burnout Inventory; SFMSQ=Short Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire. *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

DISCUSSION

This study found that ageist attitudes among nursing staff were not related to their age, gender, education level, or marital status. However, ageist attitudes were associated with burnout levels and job satisfaction.

Elderly people in nursing homes spend much of their time with nurses while receiving assistance, with activities of daily living such as eating, bathing, dressing, and moving. Adverse changes in the attitudes and behavior of healthcare providers can impact quality of care (17,18). Therefore, ageist attitudes, emotional burnout, and job satisfaction are critical issues among nursing home employees.

Previous studies have evaluated the presence of ageist attitudes among Turkish healthcare providers and nursing students. These studies have reported general tendencies toward positive ageism (12,13).

Consistent with this literature, this study found a predominantly positive attitude toward the elderly among the nurses.

Polat et al. indicated that ageist attitudes among Turkish healthcare providers were not related to demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and education level (12). Usta et al. found a correlation between gender and positive ageism among Turkish nursing students. However, males constituted only 10% of the total study population in their study, indicating an important limitation that precludes the generalization of this result to the general population (11). The results of our study indicated that ageist attitudes were not associated with the demographic characteristics of the participants. The similar scores on positive ageism among Turkish healthcare providers and the lack of relation between ageist attitudes and demographic variables indicated that these



attitudes may be primarily influenced by cultural factors. This interpretation is supported by Kydd et al., who reported differences in the ageist attitudes of healthcare personnel in three countries (19).

Studies have reported that nurses have a high risk of burnout, which may affect the quality of care that they provide (20,21). However, the study by Özçakar et al. indicated lower levels of burnout among Turkish nursing staff compared with other studies in the literature. Similarly, we found that the nurses in our study experienced low burnout levels. These contradictory findings may stem from the differences in occupational context between the studies.

This study suggested that female participants experience more burnout than males, a finding consistent with that of Özçakar et al. (8). In contrast, Kendelman et al. reported that gender was not a risk factor for burnout among caregivers (6). Numerous earlier studies have demonstrated higher burnout levels among Turkish female professionals than among their male colleagues (22,23). Turkish women have more household and child-care responsibilities compared with their male counterparts, and this factor may contribute to their higher risk of burnout.

Iecovic and Avivi examined ageist attitudes among nurses in long-term care centers. They found that ageism was related with depersonalization and personal accomplishment but not with emotional exhaustion (24). Our findings are similar

to those results; however, the correlations that we observed with depersonalization and personal accomplishment parameters were not as strong as those reported by Iecovic and Avivi. In this study, no direct relation was observed between ageism and burnout; however, work-related parameters, the role of which was not assessed in this study, may significantly impact burnout among nursing staff.

The relation between burnout and job satisfaction among geriatric care workers has been previously demonstrated (25). As expected, our findings are consistent with those of a prior study. Overall, we believe that this is the first study to show a relation between ageist attitudes and job satisfaction among nursing staff. However, we cannot conclude that nursing staff should receive education or training aimed at preventing the incidence of ageism because the evidence of the effectiveness of such training is insufficient. Further prospective studies should be conducted to determine whether educational interventions can reduce ageist attitudes.

This study has two important limitations. First, it was performed in only two nursing homes, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Second, the scope of our analysis did not include all organizational and personal variables that may affect ageism, burnout, and job satisfaction. However, despite these limitations, this study demonstrates that ageism negatively impacts not only elderly individuals receiving care but also nursing staff.

REFERENCES

1. He W, Goodkind D, Kowal PR. An aging world: 2015 United States Census Bureau. U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington DC 2016, pp 1-15.
2. Press Releases of Turkish Statistical Institute; Elderly Statistic, 2016 [Internet] Available from: <http://www.tuik.gov.tr/PreHaberBultenleri.do?id=24644>. Accessed:01.10.2017. (in Turkish).
3. Solanki SL, Singhal G, Mishra N, Meharda B. Health problems of elderly: a challenge for care. *Int J Cur Res Rev* 2015;7(5):38-42.
4. Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. *Ann Rev Psychol* 2001;52(1):397-422. (PMID:11148311).
5. Westermann C, Kozak A, Harling M, Nienhaus A. Burnout intervention studies for inpatient elderly care nursing staff: Systematic literature review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2014;51(1):63-71. (PMID:23273537).
6. Kendelman N, Mazars T, Levy A. Risk factors for burnout among caregivers working in nursing homes. 2018 Jan;27(1-2):e147-e153. (PMID:28543882).

7. Sanchez S, Mahmoudi R, Moronne I, Camonin D, Novella JL. Burnout in the field of geriatric medicine: Review of the literature. *Eur Geriatr Med* 2015;6(2):175-83.
8. Özçakar N, Kartal M, Dirik G, Tekin N, Güldal D. Burnout and relevant factors in nursing staff: What affects the staff working in an elderly nursing home? *Turkish Journal of Geriatrics* 2012;15(3):266-72.
9. Kydd A, Fleming A. Ageism and age discrimination in health care: Fact or fiction? A narrative review of the literature. *Maturitas* 2015;81(4):432-38. (PMID:26044073).
10. Akdemir N, Cınar FI, Gorgulu U. Perception of ageing and ageism. *Turkish Journal of Geriatrics* 2007;10(4):215-22. (In Turkish).
11. Usta YY, Demir Y, Yonder M, Yildiz A. Nursing students' attitudes toward ageism in Turkey. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;54(1):90-93. (PMID:21353316).
12. Polat U, Karadag A, Ulger Z, Demir N. Nurses' and physicians' perceptions of older people and attitudes towards older people: Ageism in a hospital in Turkey. *Contemp Nurs* 2014;48(1):88-97. (PMID:25410199).
13. Özdemir Ö, Bilgili N. Attitudes of Turkish nursing students related to ageism. *J Nurs Res* 2016;24(3):211-16. (PMID:26998768).
14. Vefikuluçay YD, Terzioğlu F. Development and psychometric evaluation of ageism attitude scale among the university students. *Turkish Journal of Geriatrics* 2011;14(3):259-68.
15. Ergin C. The norms of Maslach Burnout Inventory in Turkish Medical Staff. *Journal of 3P*. 1996;4(1):28-33. (In Turkish).
16. Köroğlu Ö. Determination of the relationship between the levels of intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction with level of general job satisfaction: an investigation on tour guides. *DUJ* 2012;13(2):275-89. (in Turkish).
17. Wallace JE, Lemaire JB, Ghali WA. Physician wellness: A missing quality indicator. *Lancet* 2009;374(9702):1714-21. (PMID:19914516).
18. Nantsupawat A, Nantsupawat R, Kunaviktikul W, Turale S, Poghosyan L. Nurse burnout, nurse-reported quality of care, and patient outcomes in Thai hospitals. *J Nurs Sch* 2016;48(1):83-90. (PMID:26650339).
19. Kydd A, Touhy T, Newman D, Fagerberg I, Engstrom G. Attitudes towards caring for older people in Scotland, Sweden and the United States. *Nurs Older People* 2014;26(2):33-40. (PMID:24576249).
20. Cocco E, Gatti M, Lima C, Camus V. A comparative study of stress and burnout among staff caregivers in nursing homes and acute geriatric wards. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2003;18(1):78-85. (PMID:12497560).
21. Cañadas-De la Fuente GA, Vargas C, Luis CS, García I, Cañadas GR, Emilia I. Risk factors and prevalence of burnout syndrome in the nursing profession. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2015;52(1):240-49. (PMID:27623931).
22. Anil M, Yurtseven A, Yurtseven I. et al. The evaluation of burnout and job satisfaction levels in residents of pediatrics. *Turk Pediatri Ars* 2017;52(2):66-71. (PMID:28747836).
23. Kaptanoğlu AY, Demir T. Examining job satisfaction among perfusionists: A brief report from Istanbul. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2013;63(9):1157-62. (PMID:24601197).
24. Iecovich E, Avivi M. Agism and burnout among nurses in long-term care facilities in Israel. *Aging Ment Health* 2017;21(3):327-35. (PMID:26496232).
25. Rouxel G, Michinov E, Dodeler V. The influence of work characteristics, emotional display rules and affectivity on burnout and job satisfaction: A survey among geriatric care workers. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2016;62:81-89. (PMID:27468116).